NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 16 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MICHAEL FORD; et al.,

No. 19-15462

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

D.C. No. 5:18-cv-02770-BLF

v.

MEMORANDUM*

[24]7.AI, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Beth Labson Freeman, District Judge, Presiding

> Argued and Submitted July 9, 2020 Pasadena, California

Before: PAEZ and BADE, Circuit Judges, and ZOUHARY,** District Judge.

This putative class action arises out of a 2017 data breach, in which Plaintiffs-Appellants allege their payment card data and other personally identifiable information were compromised due to Defendant-Appellee's data-security system. The district court determined that another action arising out

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The Honorable Jack Zouhary, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Ohio, sitting by designation.

of the same data breach, *Pica v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.*, No. 19-55300 (9th Cir.) ("*Pica*"), was commenced prior to this action ("*Ford*"), and dismissed *Ford* with prejudice on first-to-file grounds.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. "We review a court's decision to accept or decline jurisdiction based on the first-to-file rule for abuse of discretion." *Alltrade, Inc. v. Uniweld Prods., Inc.*, 946 F.2d 622, 625 (9th Cir. 1991) (citation omitted). We vacate the district court dismissal and remand with instructions to stay the proceedings pending resolution of *Pica*.

The first-to-file rule is "a generally recognized doctrine of federal comity which permits a district court to decline jurisdiction over an action when a complaint involving the same parties and issues has already been filed in another district." *Pacesetter Sys., Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc.*, 678 F.2d 93, 94–95 (9th Cir. 1982) (citations omitted). A district court applying the rule may transfer, stay, or dismiss the second-filed case. *See Cedars-Sinai Med. Ctr. v. Shalala*, 125 F.3d 765, 769 (9th Cir. 1997).

Plaintiffs-Appellants contend that the first-to-file rule should not apply to this action at all. We do not decide that issue; regardless of whether *Pica* or *Ford* should be deemed "first-filed," the district court abused its discretion in dismissing *Ford*. Under our case law, "where the first-filed action presents a likelihood of dismissal, the second-filed suit should be stayed, rather than dismissed." *Alltrade*,

Inc., 946 F.2d at 629 (citation omitted). The *Pica* action plainly presented a likelihood of dismissal: When the district court dismissed the *Ford* action, the district court in *Pica* had already issued a tentative order dismissing that case with prejudice. Accordingly, we conclude the district court abused its discretion in dismissing *Ford*. We vacate the district court order and remand with instructions to stay the proceedings pending resolution of *Pica*.

Plaintiffs-Appellants shall recover their costs on appeal.

VACATED AND REMANDED.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- See Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case 5:18-cv-02770-BLF Document 92 Filed 07/16/20 Page 6 of 7

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

 Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter in writing within 10 days to:
 - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; Eagan, MN 55123 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
 - and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Form 10. Bill of Costs

Instructions for this form: http://www.	ca9.uscourts.go	ov/forms/fo	orm10instruct	ions.pdf
9th Cir. Case Number(s)				
Case Name				
The Clerk is requested to award costs	s to (party nar	me(s)):		
I swear under penalty of perjury that actually and necessarily produced, an expended.	the copies for ad that the req	which cuested co	osts are req	uested were tually
Signature		Date		
(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronically	y-filed documen	ts)		
COST TAXABLE	REQUESTED (each column must be completed)			
DOCUMENTS / FEE PAID	No. of Pa	ges per Copy	Cost per Page	TOTAL COST
Excerpts of Record*		\$		\$
Principal Brief(s) (Opening Brief; Answering Brief; 1st, 2nd, and/or 3rd Brief on Cross-Appeal; Intervenor Brief)		\$		\$
Reply Brief / Cross-Appeal Reply Brief		4		\$
Supplemental Brief(s)				\$
Petition for Review Docket Fee / Petition f	for Writ of Man	damus Do	cket Fee	\$
			TOTAL:	\$
*Example: Calculate 4 copies of 3 volumes of Vol. 2 (250 pgs.) + Vol. 3 (240 pgs.)] as: No. of Copies: 4; Pages per Copy: 500; Cost p				

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at forms@ca9.uscourts.gov

 $TOTAL: 4 \times 500 \times \$.10 = \$200.$